# MEMORANDUM

December 15, 2006

TO:	THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY CLAIMS BOARD
FROM:	J. PETER FISKE Kohrs & Fiske
	BRIAN T. CHU Principal Deputy County Counsel General Litigation Division
RE:	Scott Ehret and Sandra Ehret v. County of Los Angeles Los Angeles Superior Court No. KC047510
DATE OF INCIDENT:	February 14, 2005
AUTHORITY REQUESTED:	\$30,000
COUNTY DEPARTMENT:	Department of Public Works/Sewer Special District General Liability Trust Fund
CLAIMS BOARD A Approve	ACTION:  Disapprove  Recommend to Board of Supervisors for Approval
ROCKY A. ARM	, Chief Administrative Office
JOHN F. KRAT	TLI County Counsel
MARIA M. OMS	Auditor-Controller
on <u>locom</u>	le 27, 2006

#### **SUMMARY**

This is a recommendation to settle for \$30,000 the lawsuit filed by Scott and Sandra Ehret, seeking damages to their home and personal property caused by a sewer back-up into their house, which resulted from a sewer main line blockage on February 14, 2005.

#### LEGAL PRINCIPLE

The County may be held liable for inverse condemnation based on a claim for property damages caused by a sewer blockage.

## SUMMARY OF FACTS

On February 14, 2005, Scott and Sandra Ehret experienced a back-up of raw sewage in the bathtub drains and toilets in their residence located at 419 N. Eucla Avenue, in the City of San Dimas. The sewage flowed onto the bathroom floors, hallway, kitchen and dining room. Mrs. Ehret called a plumber who later determined that the back-up was due to a blockage in the sewer main line. A County sewer crew was called to the Ehret's house and cleared the sewer main line of overgrown roots. The County received a second call the next day and rodded the main line again.

#### **DAMAGES**

If this matter were to proceed to trial, it is expected that Mr. and Mrs. Ehret will likely claim total damages categorized as follows:

Plumbing Repairs		230
Property Clean-Up & Repairs		18,405
Personal Property Damage		290
Loss of Earnings		1,080
Lost Use of Home		1,590
Diminution in Value of House		12,500
Emotional Distress		12,500
TOTAL	\$	46,595

If Mr. and Mrs. Ehret were to prevail on the inverse condemnation claim, they also would be entitled to attorneys' fees and experts' costs, which at this time approximate \$12,250. However, if they were to prevail on a nuisance cause of action, they would not be entitled to attorneys' fees, but would be able to recover emotional distress damages.

## STATUS OF THE CASE

Mr. and Mrs. Ehret filed suit against the County claiming damages for inverse condemnation, nuisance, dangerous condition of public property and negligence. The court has placed this matter on its settlement calendar. This case was roundtabled, and this tentative settlement was reached before mediation.

The Ehret's initial settlement demand was \$55,990, which included amounts for attorneys' fees and costs. Subsequent negotiations resulted in a settlement for the proposed amount. Approximate expenses incurred by the County in defense of this matter are attorneys' fees of \$6,873.60 and costs of \$470.33.

#### **EVALUATION**

This is a case of undisputed liability. The main sewer line at this location is located in the City of San Dimas and included in the Consolidated Sewer Maintenance District which is maintained by the County. Main line inspections are performed twice each year to identify blockages and to complete as-needed cleaning. Sections of main line with known maintenance concerns are placed on periodic cleaning schedules varying from every 30 days to 180 days, depending on the location. This main line was inspected before this incident on December 8, 2004, with no deficiencies noted.

It is undisputed that roots created a stoppage in the main line and that wastewater backed-up into the Ehret's residence through the lateral line.

The amounts claimed for the house clean-up and repairs and personal property damages have been reviewed and appear reasonable. We also retained a real estate appraiser to confirm the diminished value of the house for having to disclose the sewer back-up history to future prospective buyers of the house. If Mr. and Mrs. Ehret were to prevail on any of the theories of liability, the potential award of damages and our cost of defense would likely significantly exceed the proposed settlement amount.

# RECOMMENDATION

We join with our third party administrator, Carl Warren and Company, and our private counsel, Kohrs & Fiske, in recommending a total settlement of this matter in the amount of \$30,000. The Department of Public Works concurs in this settlement recommendation.

RALPH L ROSATO
Assistant County Counsel
General Litigation Division

BTC:ac